

Exercises lecture 2/JL Roch - Complexity

Exercise 1. Merkle-Hellman Consider Merkle-Hellman protocol (MH). Bob chooses a super-increasing secret sequence of $n = 1000$ integers a_i for $0 \leq i < n$. Alice signs a binary plain text P (a block), computes $C = E_{Bob}(P)$ and sends C to Bob.

1. What is the size of a P ?
2. Give an algorithm that Bob uses to build its secret integers a_i .
3. Deduce that, if $a_0 = c$, we may consider $a_i \leq 4^i \cdot c$.
4. What is the order of the size of the cipher text C ?
5. Write the algorithms for encoding and decoding and analyze their costs.
6. Conclude on the provable security of $DH(b_0, \dots, b_{n-1}, m)$.

Exercise 2. Primes, big factor and factorization Consider the following decision problems *IS-COMPOSED* and *IS-PRIME*.

IS-COMPOSED :

- Input : a positive integer n ;
- Output : YES iff $\exists 2 \leq a, b < n : n = a \times b$.

IS-PRIME :

- Input : a positive integer n ;
- Output : YES iff n is prime.

1. Prove that $IS-COMPOSED \leq_P IS-PRIME$ and that $IS-COMPOSED \geq_P IS-PRIME$.
2. Prove that $IS-COMPOSED \in NP$.
3. To what complexity class belongs *IS-PRIME*? You have to give a proof of your answer.
4. Indeed, in 2002 Agrawal, Kayal and Saxena provided a deterministic algorithm that computes *IS-PRIME*(n) in time $\tilde{O}(\log^6 n)$. From this algorithm, what are the complexity classes of *IS-PRIME* and *IS-COMPOSED*?
5. We consider the following decision problem *HAS-BIG-FACTOR* :

- Input : two positive integers n and m ;
- Output : YES iff n has a prime factor larger than m .

Prove that $HAS-BIG-FACTOR \in NP \cap co-NP$, i.e. is both in *NP* and *co-NP*.

6. The *FACTORIZATION* problem takes in input an integer n and returns the list of prime factors of n . Prove that $FACTORISATION \leq_P HAS-BIG-FACTOR$.
Is there a link between the question " $P = ? NP \cap co-NP$ " and the provable security of *RSA*?

Exercise 3. RSA Provable security; Factorization of n from d Consider a *RSA* system (n, e, d) . Let s and t be two integers such that $ed - 1 = t2^s$.

1. Propose a randomized algorithm, based on a variant of Miller-Rabin primality test, that takes in input n, e, d and return the factorization $n = pq$. (Making only one random choice of an element, the algorithm will return either both factors p and q of n , or a failure message.
2. What is the average number of calls required to factorize n ?
3. Conclude on the provable security of the *RSA* secret key d .

Exercise 4. Discrete Log and highest significant bit Let (G, \times) be a cyclic group of order n generated by $g : G = \{g^i, 0 \leq i \leq n - 1\}$.

Consider the following problems :

- **LOG_G** :
 - Input : $x \in G$;
 - Output : $i \in \{0, \dots, n - 1\}$ such that $g^i = x$.
- **PLOG_G** :
 - Input : $x \in G$ and an integer $t, 0 \leq t < n$.
 - Output : YES iff $LOG_G(x) \geq t$.

Questions :

1. Prove that $PLOG_G \in NP \cap co - NP$.

2. Prove :

(a) $PLOG_G <_P LOG_G$,

i.e. if there exists a polynomial-time deterministic algorithm for LOG_G , then there exists a polynomial-time deterministic algorithm for $PLOG_G$.

(b) $LOG_G <_P PLOG_G$,

i.e. if there exists a polynomial-time deterministic algorithm for $PLOG_G$, then there exists a polynomial-time deterministic algorithm for LOG_G .

Conclude that $PLOG_G$ and LOG_G are *polynomially equivalent*, i.e. there exists a polynomial-time algorithm for one problem iff there exists a polynomial-time algorithm for the other one.

3. Consider the two decision problems $PLOG-LSB_G$ and $PLOG-HSB_G$ that take both in input $x \in G$ and that compute respectively the least and highest significant bit of $LOG_G(x)$:

– $PLOG-LSB_G(x)=YES$ iff $LOG_G(x) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$; (least significant bit).

– $PLOG-HSB_G(x)=YES$ iff $\log_2 LOG_G(x) \geq \lfloor \log_2 \frac{p-1}{2} \rfloor$; (highest significant bit).

Compare the complexities of $PLOG-LSB_G$, $PLOG-HSB_G(x)$ and $PLOG_G$. What do you think of a cryptographic protocol which security is based on the $PLOG-LSB_G$?

Exercise 5. El Gamal protocol. Let G a cyclic group of order $p-1$ generated by α . Let d be an integer, and $\beta = \alpha^d$. In the following asymmetric protocol (El Gamal), α and β are public while d is kept secret and known only by Bob.

The encoding function encodes x using a random integer k which is kept secret by the encoder. It is given by :

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\alpha,\beta} : G &\rightarrow G \times G \\ x &\mapsto (\alpha^k, x.\beta^k) \end{aligned}$$

1. Bob receives a message (y_1, y_2) . How will he decode it ?

2. Prove that a required condition for the protocol to be a one-way trap-door function is that the discrete logarithm is computationally impossible.

Exercise 6. Asymmetric decryption is in NP Consider an asymmetric crypto-system : the public encryption method is denoted E ; the private decryption method is D . For any plain text p , the size of the corresponding cipher text $c = E(p)$ verifies : $|p| \leq |c| \leq |p| + O(1)$.

It is assumed that E is computed in deterministic polynomial (in practice almost linear) time.

Let "COMPUTE-D" be the following problem (note it is not a decision problem) :

- input : an arbitrary cipher text c ;
- output : the plain text $p = D(c)$.

1. Prove that "COMPUTE-D" can be solved in non-deterministic polynomial time.

2. Formulate decision problems related to "COMPUTE-D".

3. How would you preferably choose "D" (w.r.t. previous questions) ?

Exercise 7. Non-deterministic algorithm and certification algorithm We consider

- NP_{NonDet} : the set of decision problems that can be solved by a non-deterministic algorithm in polynomial time.
- NP_{Certif} : the set of decision problems which output YES can be proved (or certified) by a deterministic algorithm in polynomial time.

Prove that $NP_{NonDet} = NP_{Certif}$.

Additional exercises. see CLRS, 2nd edition, Chap 34, NP-Completeness :

- 34.2-5 : Prove that any decision problem in NP can be computed by an algorithm running in time $2^{O(n^k)}$ where n is the size of the input.
- 34.2-9 : Prove that $P \subset NP$ and $P \subset co - NP$.
- 34.2-10 Prove that if $P \neq co - NP$, then $P \neq NP$ (*Hint : contradiction proof*).
- Prove that $NP =_{P-Cook} co - NP$. Do we have $NP =_{P-Karp} co - NP$?