

TD - Quadratic residue - Zero-knowledge protocol

1. Number of squares in $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}^*$

- a. $(b - x)^2 = b^2 - 2bx + x^2 = x^2 = a \pmod b$.
- b. $a = x^2 + kpq$; thus $a \equiv x^2 \pmod p$ is a square mod p (similarly for q).
- c. Let $x \neq y$ such that $a = x^2 = y^2 \pmod p$. Then $x^2 - y^2 = (x - y)(x + y) = 0 \pmod p$. But $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ is a field (since p is prime): there are no zero divisor. Thus, since $x - y \neq 0 \pmod p$, necessarily $x + y = 0 \pmod p$; therefore $y = p - x$.
- d. From b., any square $a \pmod n$ is a square both $\pmod p$ and $\pmod q$. Since $a \neq 0 \pmod p$, a has exactly two distinct roots $u_1 = u$ and $u_2 = p - u$ modulo p (resp. $v_1 = v$ and $v_2 = q - v$ modulo q). From Chinese remainder theorem, this defines exactly 4 distinct roots for $a \pmod n$: $u_i \cdot q \cdot q^{-1[p]} + v_j \cdot p \cdot p^{-1[q]} \pmod n$ with $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$.
From a., those roots can be expressed as $x_1, n - x_1, x_2$ et $n - x_2$.
- e. Since p is prime, $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^*, \cdot)$ is cyclic; let g a primitive root (generator). Assume there exists x such that $g = x^2$. From Fermat theorem, $g^{p-1} = 1 \pmod p$; since g is a primitive root and p odd, we have $g^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \pmod p = -1 \pmod p = p - 1 \pmod p$. Then $x^{p-1} = -1 \neq 1$ since $p \neq 2$ and therefore, yet from Fermat theorem, $x \notin \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Thus g is not a square modulo p .
We deduce that the only non zero squares are the $\frac{p-1}{2}$ elements of the form g^{2i} for $1 \leq i \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$.
NB: g^{2i} has exactly two distinct square roots mod p : $x = g^i$ and $g^{i+\frac{p-1}{2}} = -x = p - x$.
- f. Let g a primitive root in $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^*, \cdot)$ which is cyclic. From Chinese remainder theorem, each couple of squares $(u, v) \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ corresponds to exactly a unique square in $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Including 0, there are exactly $\frac{p+1}{2}$ squares modulo p . Thus, we have $\frac{(p+1)(q+1)}{4} = \frac{n+p+q+1}{4}$ squares modulo n ; thus the number of non zero squares modulo n is $\frac{n+p+q+1}{4} - 1 = \frac{n+p+q-3}{4}$.

2. Intractability of computing square roots.

- a. $u \cdot v = x_1^2 - x_2^2 = a^2 - a^2 = 0 \pmod n$.
- b. We can suppose $1 \leq x_1, x_2 < n$. Since $x_1 \neq x_2$, $u = x_1 - x_2 \neq 0$. Since $x_1 \neq n - x_2$, $v = x_1 + x_2 = x_1 - (n - x_2) \neq 0$. Therefore $1 \leq u, v < n$.
Now we have $u \cdot v = k \cdot n$; also $n = p \cdot q$ divides $u \cdot v$. Since p and q are primes and $u < p \cdot q$, then p divides u or q divides u but $p \cdot q$ does not divide u . Then $\text{gcd}(n, u)$ returns one of the two factors of n ; the other factor is $n/\text{pgcd}(n, u)$.
- c. Let $t = \log_2 n$ the size -number of bits- of n . The previous computation consists in two additions, a gcd and a division. The cost is dominated by the one of the gcd, which, by Euclid's algorithm is $O(t^2)$ (or $O(t \log^2 t \log \log t) = \tilde{O}(t)$ by Schonhagge's algorithm).
- d. Computing $x^2 \pmod n$ is performed efficiently in $O(t^2)$ ($\tilde{O}(t)$ using a fast integer multiplication algorithm). However, computing x from x^2 is polynomially more difficult then factorization: indeed, if we can compute the square roots of $a \pmod n$, we can compute

p and q in $O(t^2)$ as stated above. Then, under the conjecture (commonly considered at this time) that integer factorization is a computationally impossible problem, *Square* is a one-way function.

3. Quadratic authentication protocol.

- a. Currently, no algorithm is known to factorize n (1024 bits) in a time lesser than the duration of a passport (let say 5 years). Then, we can assume that nobody knows p and q except TTP.

Moreover, we assume that nobody knows the private key x_A of Alice, except Alice and may be TTP.

The only solution to compute x_A is then to compute the square root of $a \pmod n$; from 2., this computation is more difficult that factorizing n . Thus, we may assume that nobody knows x_A (except Alice or TTP). The assumption is reasonable.

Complement (not asked): yet, we may assume that TTP, who knows p and q , does not know x_A . Indeed, computing x_A from a requires to know how to compute square roots mod p . However, we can then prove that TTP would know how to compute discrete logarithm, which is conjectured computationally impossible. Let g be a primitive root of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}^*$. Let $y < p$; by computing a square root of $y \pmod p$ (or of y/g if y does not have square root), TTP can compute y_1 such that $y_1^2 = y$. If $y_1 = g$, he then recovers the discrete logarithm of y : 2 (ou 1). Else by repeating this square root computation from y_1 until finding $y_k = g$, he can computes the discrete logarithm i of y_1 ; and then the discrete logarithm $2.i$ (or $2.i + 1$) of y . TTP then would know how to compute the discrete logarithm modulo p .

- b. If Eve cannot compute square roots, r being chosen at random, knowing y is of no help. The only solution to compute r is then to use z ; but computing r from z is equivalent to compute x_A . The only solution to compute r is then to compute x_A .

We deduce that only Alice can systematically answers correctly to Bob; then Bob can authenticate Alice.

- c. If Eve doesn't know Alice's private key nor computing square roots, the only solution for her is to cheat. She has to bet on what Bob will send (0 or 1) to sends him a value y corresponding to a z she knows. But her probability to correctly succeed her bet is $1/2$. Then her probability to impersonate to Bob after k iterations is 2^{-k} which is rather small (if $k = 40$, it is $< 10^{-12}$).